

REMARKS

This is intended to be a complete response to the Official Action mailed September 20, 2004, in which claims 1-6 were rejected and claims 7 and 8 were objected to by Examiner. Applicant has amended claims 7 and 8 herein. Claims 1-6 have been canceled without prejudice.

35 USC § 103 Rejection of Claims 1-6

In the Office Action mailed September 20, 2004 the Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Haines, Patent No. 5,560,110 in View of Wales, Patent No. 2,364,011. Applicant has canceled claims 1-6, thereby making the rejection of these claims moot.


Allowable Subject Matter

In the Office Action mailed September 20, 2004 the Examiner indicated claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Applicant has rewritten claims 7 and 8 in independent form to include all the limitations of the rejected base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant therefore submits claims 7 and 8 as rewritten are now in a condition for allowance and respectfully requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance.

Conclusion

This amendment is intended as a complete response to the Office Action Mailed on September 20, 2004. Applicant's Attorney would welcome the opportunity to discuss this Amendment with Examiner should Examiner have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,



Douglas J. Sorocco
Reg. No. 43,145
DUNLAP CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.
P.O. Box 16370
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73113
Telephone: 405/607-8600
Facsimile: 405/607-8686

Attorney for Applicant